Application No. <u>09/955,267</u> Amendment dated September 15, 2006 Page 8

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application based on the following remarks are respectfully requested. Claims 9, 21, 31, and 44-53 have been cancelled. Claims 7, 19, 29, and 39 have been amended. Support for all amendments can be found throughout the specification. No new matter has been added. Upon entry of the above amendments, claims 7-8, 10-15, 18-20, 22-30, 32-43, as amended, will be pending.

Claims 7-15 and 18-53 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent 5,792,340 ("Freel") in view of U.S. Patent 5,858,213 ("Chomyn") and U.S. Patent 5,626,741 ("Mosby"). The amendments to the claims are believed to place them in condition for allowance. Specifically, the claims have been amended to specify that the feedstock is a <u>bitumen</u> feedstock. The cited references do not suggest a process for producing a vacuum gas oil (VGO) with a bitumen feedstock. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that bitumen (a material that is a solid or semi-solid in its natural state) differs from heavy oil. This is illustrated in the following excerpt of Table 1 from the pending application (which appears on page 31 of the pending application):

Differences Between Bitumen and Heavy Oil:

| Properties   |                | Bitumen | Heavy Oil |
|--------------|----------------|---------|-----------|
| Viscosity    | at 40°C. (cSt) | 30380   | 6343      |
| -            | at 60°C. (cSt) | 1268    | 892.8     |
|              | at 80°C. (cSt) | 593     | 243.4     |
|              |                |         |           |
| Gravity API° |                | 8.6     | 11.0      |

Note, here, that the <u>viscosity</u> of bitumen differs from the viscosity of heavy oil at all three measured temperatures (*i.e.*, at 40°C, at 60°C, and at 80°C). Moreover, please note that the <u>API gravity</u> of heavy oil differs from the API gravity of bitumen.

Furthermore, in view of the Examiner's concern that two processes employing the *same* feedstock and the *same* operating conditions will produce liquid products and subsequent VGO products having the *same* properties -- it must follow that because the inventive process (as defined by the pending claims, as amended) utilizes a *different* feedstock than the feedstocks suggested by the prior art, both the liquid product and the subsequent VGO product of the inventive process must have *different* properties.

Application No. <u>09/955,267</u>

Amendment dated September 15, 2006

Page 9

Therefore, all objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants at the telephone number indicated below in order to expeditiously resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES DAY

David M Mot

Registration No. 47,808 Direct No. (202) 879-3674

Paul L. Sharer Registration No. 36,004 Direct No. (202) 879-5481

PLS/DMM Intellectual Property Group 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 (202) 879-3939 Telephone (202) 626-1700 Facsimile

Date: September 15, 2006 WAI-2230576v1 890200 - 102011

Date Created: 09/13/06